Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/634,384	BLOMQUIST ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John R. Hardee	1751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication at All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOLNOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.	IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in 85) or other appropriate community RIGHTS. This application is s	this application. If not included inication will be mailed in due course. <b>THIS</b>	<b>S</b> itive
1. This communication is responsive to applicant's RCE a	nd amendment.		
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 33,35-39 and 41-44.			
3. $\boxtimes$ The drawings filed on <u>09 August 2000</u> are accepted by	the Examiner.		
<ul> <li>4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority a) All b) Some* c) None of the:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents h</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents h</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	ave been received. ave been received in Applicatio	n No	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DAT noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDO THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the requirements	
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be su INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which			
<ol> <li>CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") r</li> <li>(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsp</li> <li>1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>(b) including changes required by the attached Examin Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CF each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such</li> </ol>	person's Patent Drawing Review ner's Amendment / Comment or R 1.84(c)) should be written on th	in the Office action of ne drawings in the front (not the back) of	
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the de attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT</li> </ol>			
Attachment(s)  1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)  3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No./Mail Date  4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Depos of Biological Material	8) 6. ☐ Interview Su Paper No./ B/08), 7. ☒ Examiner's	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)  John R. Hardee	

Application/Control Number: 09/634,384 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The application has been amended as follows:

Claim 45 is cancelled.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 33, 35-39 and 41-44 are allowed.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The closest prior art of record is the references relied upon in the previous office action. Applicant's newly added product-by-process limitation overcomes the 102 rejection over Martin. The compositions or Martin require the use of a solvent. Regarding the rejection over Kelly, etc., Kelly requires the use of an oxide, rather than a salt. The Martin, Wheatley and Lund references all require the use of a matrix. It is not clear that any teachings of modifying particle sizes which are germane to a polymer bound explosive could be fairly extended to the formulation of a granular explosive. The rejection over Bagley, etc. relies upon Dixon for a teaching of particle sizes, but the Dixon reference employs oxides, rather than salts as oxidants. While both the salts and the oxides act as oxidizers, the examiner does not believe that extending a teaching of a particle

Application/Control Number: 09/634,384

Art Unit: 1751

size from oxides to salts rises above the "obvious to try" standard. Accordingly, the claims are allowable over the prior art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

- 4. Regarding the cancellation of claim 45, applicant has not traversed the withdrawal from consideration of this claim. Accordingly, the restriction has been treated as being without traverse.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner, Dr. John R. Hardee, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 until 4:30. In the event that the examiner is not available, his supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta, may be reached at (571) 272-1316.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Art Unit: 1751

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

John R. Hardee

**Primary Examiner** 

July 3, 2005